

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/595,009	Applicant(s) OYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN	Art Unit 2439

All Participants:

(1) BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN PTO personnel.

(2) _____

Date of Interview: 2 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-83

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Zand/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434
 /Benjamin A Kaplan/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2439

Status of Application: Pending Examination

(3) John C. Han Applicant's Representative.

(4) _____

Time: 1:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative to verify what the current set of claims was considered to be. Confirmation was given by Applicant's Representative that claims 59-83 filed on 12/14/2005 was the standing set of claims as apposed to the second copy of the original claims 1-58 that the office received on 2/14/2006. .